# Effect of Adding Other Metal Ions on the Removal of Cd<sup>2+</sup> by the CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5/Polysulfone Membrane

SHIOW-SHYUNG LIN, MING-TEN CHEN, DONG-JANG CHANG, SHIH-HSIUNG CHEN, YI-TING TSAI

Department of Environmental Engineering and Health, Chia-Nan University of Pharmacy and Science, Tainan 717, Taiwan, Republic of China

Received 8 May 2001; accepted 20 November 2001

ABSTRACT: Based on our previous work, performance of separation of Cd<sup>2+</sup> by the  $CoAlPO_4$ -5/polysulfone membrane was not as good as that of the other metal ions. This could be significantly improved by the addition of other metal ions into the  $Cd^{2+}$ solution. The metal ions added were those with larger hydrated radius than that of  $Cd^{2+}$ . When  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  were added, the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$  could be increased from about 50% ([Cd<sup>2+</sup>] = 50 ppm) to nearly 100% and the rate would increase with concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ . However, when the added ions were  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{2+}$ , although the performance of Cd<sup>2+</sup> removal was still high, the rejection rate would inversely decrease with increasing concentration of Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup>. These phenomena were mainly attributable to the effect of hydrated ion and the effect of lowered pH value induced by the hydrolysis of the solution. As to the addition of  $Al^{3+}$ , although the rejection rate would decrease with increasing Al<sup>3+</sup> concentration up to 100 ppm, an abrupt increase was observed at 150 ppm, which was thought to be attributable to the concentration polarization effect caused by the formation of the high valence  $[Al_n(OH)_m]^{(3[supi]n-[supi]m)+}$  complex. © 2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 85: 2172-2177, 2002

**Key words:** CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5/polysulfone membrane; rejection rate; hydrated radius; hydrolysis; pH value

### INTRODUCTION

Separation processes by membrane have found more and more applications with their predominance of less energy, smaller space requirement, and easier operation and maintenance over the traditional method of separation, such as wastewater treatment, seawater freshening, and noble metals recovery.<sup>1,2</sup>

In our previous studies, by use of the interfacial polymerization method, efficiency of mem-

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 85, 2172–2177 (2002) © 2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. brane separation was significantly increased.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, based on the investigations about gas separation and pervaporization by the zeolite-polymer composite membrane,<sup>4-8</sup> a CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5/polymer composite (pc) membrane was synthesized to improve performance of separation of  $N_2$ and  $O_2^{9}$  and a CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5/polysulfone (psf) membrane was used to reduce the concentration of heavy metal ions and soften hard water.<sup>10</sup> Some interesting results were observed and a higher performance of separation than that of the polysulfone membrane was acquired. Concerning the application of the  $CoAlPO_4$ -5/psf membrane, in particular, we found that not only rejection rates of metal ions but also flow rates of penetrates were greatly improved, except for the removal of  $Cd^{2+}$ . According to our studies, the hydrated radii

Correspondence to: S.-S. Lin (mdrlinss@mail.chna.edu.tw). Contract grant sponsor: National Science Council of R.O.C.; contract grant number: NSC 89-2211-E-041-017.

of metal ions exerted a significant influence on the rejection rate. We concluded that the larger the hydrated radius (e.g., that of +3 valence cations), the higher the rejection rate. Because the radius of hydrated Cd<sup>2+</sup> had the smallest value among those of the metal ions tested, the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$  was not as high as that of the other metal ions. However, the removal of Cd<sup>2+</sup> was an important topic, given that Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions could cause serious health problems and many industrial wastewaters were contaminated by this ion. To further improve the performance of separation of  $Cd^{2+}$  and assuming the effect of hydrated radius, we were thus prompted to mix another metal ion, which had larger hydrated radius, with the  $Cd^{2+}$ solution to fulfill this purpose.

In this work, various concentrations of  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}$ , and  $Mn^{2+}$  were added to various concentrations of  $Cd^{2+}$  to test the performance of removal of  $Cd^{2+}$  by the CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5/psf membrane. In addition, the influence of a decrease of pH value attributed to hydrolysis of solution caused by some metal ions is also discussed.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

The materials used, the  $CoAlPO_4$ -5 synthesis, the membrane preparation, and the apparatus and experimental procedures for studying separation of metal ions were all shown and described in our



**Figure 1** Effect of  $Mg^{2+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ .  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 50 ppm; pressure: 2–3 MPa; temperature: 30°C.



**Figure 2** Effect of  $Mg^{2+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ .  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 100 ppm; pressure: 2–3 MPa; temperature: 30°C.

previous work.<sup>10</sup> In this study, the CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5 used for the preparation of CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5/psf membrane had a molar ratio of Co : Al : P in the mother liquor of 0.1 : 0.92 : 1.0 and the optimum amount of CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5 (i.e., 6% according to our previous study) was blended with polysulfone solution. Various concentrations of Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, and Mn<sup>2+</sup> were mixed with three concentrations of Cd<sup>2+</sup>(50, 100, 150 ppm). The residual metal ions in the penetrate were analyzed by atomic absorption spectrometry (GBC-AA-960). The average flow rates of penetrate and rejection rates of metal ions were then calculated.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Effect of Adding $Mg^{2+}$ on the Rejection Rate of $Cd^{2+}$

Figures 1 and 2 show the effect of adding various concentrations of  $Mg^{2+}$  on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$  by using the CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5/psf membrane. It was found that addition of  $Mg^{2+}$  could greatly increase the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$  from about 50% ([ $Cd^{2+}$ ] = 50 ppm) to as high as 97%. Moreover, at the concentration of  $Cd^{2+}$  of 50 ppm, the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$  would increase with the concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$ , whereas the rejection rate apparently would not vary when the  $Cd^{2+}$  concentration was higher than 50 ppm. When  $Mg^{2+}$  was added,



**Figure 3** Effect of  $Ca^{2+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ .  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 50 ppm; pressure: 2–3 MPa; temperature: 30°C.

the hydrated  $Mg^{2+}$  complex  $([Mg(H_2O)_n]^{2+})$ would be formed and gather near the surface of the membrane. The concentration polarization and the plugging of membrane pores (mainly the pinholes of polysulfone matrix and micropores of CoAlPO<sub>4</sub>-5) would hinder the penetration of  $Cd^{2+}$ , such that the rate of rejection was increased. Furthermore, because those pinholes or micropores of membrane blocked by hydrated  $Mg^{2+}$  would increase with  $Mg^{2+}$  concentration, it was reasonable that the rejection rate would increase, as shown in Figure 1. As to the higher rejection rate in Figure 2, under the same operating conditions, the penetrating flux of  $Cd^{2+}$  was limited. Then, if the initial concentration of  $Cd^{2+}$ was greater, its rejection rate would also be higher. Similar results had also been observed in our previous work.<sup>10</sup> In addition, if the Mg<sup>2+</sup> concentration was higher than 50 ppm, because the rate was nearly 100%, there was little room for improvement.

## Effect of Adding $Ca^{2+}$ on the Rejection Rate of $Cd^{2+}$

Because the ion added to the  $Cd^{2+}$  solution was  $Ca^{2+}$ , it can be seen that Figures 3 to 5 showed results similar to those of Figure 1 and Figure 2, that is, the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$  increased with increasing concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$ . Nevertheless, when we compared Figures 1 and 2 with Figures 3 to 5, we found that addition of  $Mg^{2+}$  exhibited



**Figure 4** Effect of  $Ca^{2+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ .  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 100 ppm; pressure: 2–3 MPa; temperature: 30°C.

somewhat higher performance because the hydrated radius of  $Mg^{2+}$  (0.8 nm) was larger than that of  $Ca^{2+}$  (0.6 nm). Besides, when the concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  was less than 50 ppm, we could see that the rejection rate, when the concentration of  $Cd^{2+}$  was 150 ppm, was lower than that when the  $Cd^{2+}$  concentration was 100 ppm. This was supposed that, when the  $Cd^{2+}$  concentration



**Figure 5** Effect of  $Ca^{2+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ .  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 150 ppm; pressure: 2–3 MPa; temperature: 30°C.



**Figure 6** Effect of  $Al^{3+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ .  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 50 ppm; pressure: 3–4 MPa; temperature: 30°C.

was 150 ppm and the  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration was lower than 50 ppm, perhaps the  $Cd^{2+}$  ions would have greater concentration predominance over the  $Ca^{2+}$  ions to gather near the membrane surface, thus leading to more  $Cd^{2+}$  ions penetrating the membrane; thus, a lower rejection rate would be observed.

### Effect of Adding $AI^{3+}$ on the Rejection Rate of $Cd^{2+}$

Given that the hydrated radius of  $Al^{3+}$  (0.9 nm) was larger than that of either  $Mg^{2+}$  or  $Ca^{2+}$ , it was first predicted that the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ in the case of  $Al^{3+}$  added would also be higher. However, as can be seen in Figures 6 to 8, we obtained a lower rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$  when the ion added was  $Al^{3+}$ . In some cases, it was even lower than that of any without  $Al^{3+}$  added ([Cd<sup>2+</sup>]  $= 50, 100 \text{ ppm}; [Al^{3+}] = 100 \text{ ppm}$ ). Furthermore, we found that the rejection rate would decrease with increasing  $Al^{3+}$  concentration from 20 to 100 ppm, whereas it abruptly increased at the concentration of 150 ppm. Moreover, in contrast to the results of  $Ca^{2+}$ , the rejection rate increased with  $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$  concentration. These phenomena can be illustrated as follows.

The capability of hydrolysis by the  $Al^{3+}$  was much greater than that of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ . Given that the hydrolysis of the aqueous solution occurred in the presence of metal ions, the pH value



**Figure 7** Effect of  $Al^{3+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ .  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 100 ppm; pressure: 3–4 MPa; temperature: 30°C.

would be lowered. Thus the pH value of solution with  $Al^{3+}$  added should be lower than that with  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  added. It can be seen in Figure 9, as the  $Al^{3+}$  was added, the pH value was the lowest, decreasing with increasing concentration of  $Al^{3+}$ . It was reported in Kawamura et al.<sup>11</sup> that the form of  $Cd^{2+}$  ions was greatly influenced by the pH value of solution; that is, it had a greater



**Figure 8** Effect of  $Al^{3+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ .  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 150 ppm; pressure: 3–4 MPa; temperature: 30°C.



**Figure 9** Effect of metal ion concentration on the pH value of solution.  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 100 ppm; temperature: 30°C.

tendency to remain the simple ion form, but not the hydrated ion form, because the pH value was low enough. Then, because addition of Al<sup>3+</sup> would decrease the pH value, some aquo-ligand might detach from the hydrated  $Cd^{2+}$  ion  $([Cd(H_2O)_n]^{2+})$ and the average hydrated radius would be reduced, thus leading to a lower rejection rate. Besides, because there were more simple-form  $Cd^{2+}$ ions remaining at higher Al<sup>3+</sup> concentration and lower pH value, the rate should decrease with increasing  $Al^{3+}$  concentration. As to the abrupt increase of Al<sup>3+</sup> at 150 ppm, it was supposed that some high valence polynuclei hydroxyl aluminum complex  $([Al_n(OH)_m]^{(3n-m)+})$  had been formed under such a high concentration of Al<sup>3+</sup>, and it was the concentration polarization effect of this high charge complex that caused the unusual result. In contrast to Al<sup>3+</sup>, the degree of hydrolysis by Mg<sup>2+</sup> and  $Ca^{2+}$  was negligible. Thus the pH value was near that of natural water and apparently would not change with the concentration. It was the hydrated ions of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  that predominantly affected the rejection rate. For the phenomenon of increasing rejection rate with increasing  $Cd^{2+}$  concentration, it was deduced that, because Al<sup>3+</sup> had a higher valence, the concentration predominance was not so apparent when the  $Cd^{2+}$  concentration was 150 ppm and the  $Al^{3+}$ concentration was lower than 50 ppm. Thus, under the limited penetrating rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ , the rejection rate would increase with initial Cd<sup>2+</sup> concentration, different from the case with  $Ca^{2+}$  added.



**Figure 10** Effect of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ . [Cd<sup>2+</sup>]: 100 ppm; pressure: 2–3 MPa; temperature: 30°C.

# Effect of Adding $Fe^{2+}$ and $Mn^{2+}$ on the Rejection Rate of $Cd^{2+}$

To further verify the effect of pH value and because there were some other metal ions present in the industrial wastewater, the effect of adding  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{2+}$  on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$  was also tested. The results are shown in Figures 10 and 11. Similar to results of  $Al^{3+}$  added, we found a decreasing rejection rate with increasing concentration of  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{2+}$ , although not so



**Figure 11** Effect of  $Mn^{2+}$  ion concentration on the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ .  $[Cd^{2+}]$ : 100 ppm; pressure: 2–3 MPa; temperature: 30°C.

appreciably. If we checked the results of Figure 9, we could find apparent hydrolysis of solution caused by these two ions. The pH value was also lowered and it decreased as the metal ions concentration increased. This explained the results of Figures 10 and 11. Moreover, because the formation of a polynuclei complex of  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{2+}$  was not as easily achieved as that of  $Al^{3+}$ , the abrupt increase of the rejection rate at 150 ppm for the case of  $Al^{3+}$  added would not be observed because the ions added were  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{2+}$ .

Finally, in this study, we also found that the rejection rate of another ion mixed with  $Cd^{2+}$  and the flow rate of the penetrate were about the same as the results of our previous work. Furthermore, the operating conditions, especially the pressure, was also about the same as that applied in our previous study. The membrane continued to exhibit good durability.

### CONCLUSIONS

The addition of other metal ions with larger hydrated radius to the  $Cd^{2+}$  solution could significantly increase the rejection rate of  $Cd^{2+}$ . If the ions added were  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ , the rejection rate would increase with concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$ and  $Ca^{2+}$ , whereas if the ions added were  $Fe^{2+}$ and  $Mn^{2+}$ , the rejection rate would inversely decrease with their concentration. The former was attributed to the plugging of pores of the improved membrane by the hydrated ions and the latter was attributed to the lowered pH value caused by the hydrolysis of the solution. Concerning the addition of  $Al^{3+}$ , the rejection rate would first decrease with  $Al^{3+}$  concentration up to 100 ppm, although an abrupt increase was observed at 150 ppm of  $Al^{3+}$ . This was deduced to be caused by the formation of  $[Al_n(OH)_m]^{(3n-m)+}$ . Furthermore, with the addition of another metal ion, the flow rate of the penetrate and the rejection rate of this added ion would not vary significantly compared with results of our previous work.

The authors thank the National Science Council of R.O.C. (NSC 89-2211-E-041-017) for its financial support.

### REFERENCES

- Comyn, J., Ed. Polymer Permeability; Elsevier: New York, 1985.
- Kesting, R. E. Synthetic Polymer Membrane, 2nd ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1985.
- Chen, S. H.; Chang, D. J.; Lin, S. S.; Liou, R. M.; Hsu, C. S. J Appl Polym Sci, to appear.
- Battle, T.; Bac, N.; Yilmaz, L. Sep Sci Technol 1995, 30, 2365.
- Goldman, M.; Fraenkel, D.; Levin, G. J Appl Polym Sci 1989, 37, 1791.
- Piera, E.; Giroir-Fedler, A.; Dalmon, J. A.; Moueddeb, H.; Coronas, J.; Menendez, M.; Santamaria, J. J Membr Sci 1998, 142, 97.
- Zimmerman, C. M.; Singh, A.; Koros, W. J. J Membr Sci 1997, 137, 145.
- Duval, J. M.; Kemperman, A. J. B.; Folkers, B.; Mulder, M. H. V.; Desgrandchamps, G.; Smolders, C. A. J Appl Polym Sci 1994, 54, 408.
- Chen, S. H.; Lin, S. S.; Chang, D. J. J Appl Polym Sci 2000, 77, 89.
- Lin, S. S.; Chen, S. H.; Chang, C. Y.; Chang, D. J.; Chen, C. C. J Appl Polym Sci 2001, 80, 2768.
- 11. Kawamura, Y.; Mitsuhashi, M.; Tanibe, H.; Yoshida, H. Ind Eng Chem Res 1993, 32, 386.